## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL MINUTES— GENERAL

| Case N  | No. 5:2  | 24-cv-02091-                 | SSS-SPx |              | Date         | February 3, 2025     |  |
|---|----------|------------------------------|---------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|--|
| Title Raul Uriarte-Limon v. Hightech Floor Covering, Inc., et al.     |          |                              |         |              |              |                      |  |
|   |          |                              |         |              |              |                      |  |
| Present: The Honorable SUNSHINE S. SYKES, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDG |          |                              |         |              |              | S DISTRICT JUDGE     |  |
|   |          |                              |         |              |              |                      |  |
| Irene Vazquez   |          |                              |         | Not Reported |              |                      |  |
|   | Ir       | rene Vazquez                 |         |              | Not 1        | Reported             |  |
|   |          | rene Vazquez<br>Deputy Clerk |         |              |              | Reported<br>Reporter |  |
|   |          | 1                            |         |              |              |                      |  |
| Atto  | Ι        | 1                            |         | Attorney(s   | Court        |                      |  |
| Atto  | orney(s) | Deputy Clerk                 |         | Attorney(s   | Courts) Pres | Reporter             |  |

## Proceedings: (IN CHAMBERS) Order to Show Cause re Dismissal for **Lack of Prosecution**

Generally, each Defendant must answer or otherwise respond to the complaint within 21 days after service (60 days if the Defendant is the United States). Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 12(a)(1).

In the present case, it appears that Plaintiff served the summons and complaint on one or more Defendants, but such Defendant(s) did not file an answer or otherwise respond to the complaint. Consequently, on December 16, 2024, Plaintiff requested the entry of default with respect to the applicable Defendant(s) [Dkt. 15, 16], and the Clerk entered default on December 17, 2024 [Dkt. 18, 19]. Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 55(a).

The defaulted Defendant(s) have not moved to set aside the default nor otherwise responded in this action. However, Plaintiff has not moved for the entry of default judgment. Accordingly, the Court, on its own motion, hereby orders Plaintiff to show cause in writing on or before February 17, 2025, why this action should not be dismissed, with respect to each defaulted Defendant. Pursuant to Rule 78 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court finds that this matter is appropriate for submission without oral argument. This Order to Show Cause will be discharged if Plaintiff files, before the deadline set forth above, a motion for the entry of default judgment with respect to the applicable Defendant(s). Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 55(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.